The angle $\mathrm{O}(1)-\mathrm{Rh}-\mathrm{O}(2)=89.5(4)^{\circ}$ for molecule $A\left[90.0(4)^{\circ}\right.$ for molecule $\left.B\right]$ and the Rh angle formed with the centres of the double bonds $\mathrm{C}(8)=\mathrm{C}(9)$ and $\mathrm{C}(12)=\mathrm{C}(13)$ is $88.1(6)^{\circ}$ for molecule $A\left[88.5(5)^{\circ}\right.$ for molecule $B]$ - close to $90^{\circ}$ (cf. Ibers \& Snyder, 1962). This indicates that the prevailing orbitals that participate in the hybridization of the Rh orbitals are $4 p_{x}, 4 p_{y}$ and $4 d$ of suitable symmetry. The angle between the double bond $\mathrm{C}(8)=\mathrm{C}(9)$ and the normal to the chelate ring is $3^{\circ}$. The corresponding double bond in molecule $B$ shows an angle of $2^{\circ}$. The angle between the chelate ring of molecule $A$ and the double bond $\mathrm{C}(12)=\mathrm{C}(13)$ is $12^{\circ}$; similarly in molecule $B$ we found $8^{\circ}$. The bonds $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ and $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ are not coplanar but are crossed with respect to each other, forming an angle of $27.4^{\circ}$ in molecule $A$ and $23.2^{\circ}$ in molecule $B$. The half-normal probability plot (De Camp, 1973; Hamilton \& Abrahams, 1972) applied to the intramolecular distances of all the nonhydrogen atoms is significantly nonlinear; in particular, this is due to the longer non-valence distances between the chelate ring and the cyclooctadiene ring. This indicates differences between both independent molecules. Thermal-motion analysis (Schomaker \& Trueblood, 1968) gives negative eigenvalues for the $\mathbf{L}$ tensors. This can be interpreted as non-rigid behaviour of the
molecules. No intermolecular contacts involving nonhydrogen atoms shorter than $3.4 \AA$ were found.

We thank $\operatorname{Dr}$ A. Linek for providing the automatic diffractometer, Dr V. Petrícek for the TLS analysis and Dr F. Hrabák and Dr K. Bouchal for supplying crystals.

## References

Ahmed, F. R., Hall, S. R., Pippy, M. E. \& Huber, C. P. (1966). NRC Crystallographic Programs for the IBM/360 System. World List of Crystallographic Computer Programs, 2nd ed., Appendix, p. 52. Utrecht: Oosthoek.
De Camp, W. H. (1973). Acta Cryst. A29, 148-150.
Hamilton, W. C. \& Abrahams, S. C. (1972). Acta Cryst. A28, 215-218.
Ibers, J. A. \& Snyder, R. G. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 923930.

International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.

Ječní, J. \& Huml, K. (1974). Acta Cryst. B30, 1105-1110.
Ječný, J. \& Huml, K. (1978). Acta Cryst. B34, 2966-2969.
Schomaker, V. \& Trueblood, K. N. (1968). Acta Cryst. B24, 63-76.

Acta Cryst. (1979). B35, 2416-2418

# Cyclooctynebis(triphenylphosphine)platinum(0)-0.5 Benzene 
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Abstract. $\quad \mathrm{C}_{44} \mathrm{H}_{42} \mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{Pt} . \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, \quad\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{P})_{2}\right] . \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}, M_{r}=866 \cdot 9$, triclinic, $P \overline{1}, a=11.334$ (5), $b$ $=15.931$ (6), $c=12.021(6) \AA, \alpha=97.77(5), \beta=$ 113.66 (4), $\gamma=90.80$ (3) ${ }^{\circ}, V=1964$ (2) $\AA^{3}, Z=2, D_{c}$ $=1.466 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3} . \mathrm{Cu} K a, \lambda=1.5418 \AA, \mu=7.8$ $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}$. The structure is based on 2579 diffractometric intensities refined to $R \quad 0.093$. Two $P$ and two acetylenic C atoms define a plane about the zero-valent Pt atom. The conformation of the cyclooctyne ring is such that the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ unit is planar to within $\pm 0.06 \AA$; the remaining two ring $C$ atoms are displaced from this plane in the same direction by 0.85 (5) and 1.14 (5) $\AA$.

Introduction. Haase \& Krebs (1971) have shown that gaseous cyclooctyne adopts a conformation in which the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ unit is planar and the remaining
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two C atoms lie $0.571 \AA$ from this plane in opposite directions. We have examined the title compound by X ray diffraction to see whether this conformation persists when cyclooctyne is complexed to $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}\right]$. An X-ray study of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2}\right]$ has been mentioned by Robertson \& Whimp (1975) but no details were given.

A sample of the title compound was kindly provided by Professor C. W. Rees (Gilchrist, Graveling \& Rees, 1968). A suitable crystal was obtained from benzene solution only after many recrystallizations. It was a white plate $0.2 \times 0.2 \times 0.1 \mathrm{~mm}$. Preliminary Weissenberg and precession photographs indicated triclinic symmetry. Final cell dimensions and the intensities of all independent reflexions with $\theta(\mathrm{Cu} K a) \leq 57^{\circ}$ were measured with Ni -filtered Cu radiation on a Hilger \& Watts Y290 diffractometer. Integrated intensities were © 1979 International Union of Crystallography
obtained from symmetrical $\theta / 2 \theta$ scans of $0.70^{\circ}$ in $\theta(\mathrm{Cu} K \alpha), 1 \mathrm{~s}$ counts being taken at intervals of $0.01^{\circ}$. Stationary-crystal-stationary-counter backgrounds were measured for 10 s at each end of the scan. The intensities of two strong reflexions, periodically remeasured, decreased steadily and were finally ca $60 \%$ of their initial values.

The integrated intensities were corrected for the variation in intensity of the standard reflexions, for absorption (transmission factors on $F^{2}$ were 0.370.56 ), and for Lp effects. The analysis was carried out with 2579 reflexions for which $I \geq 3 \sigma(I)$.

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates $\left(\times 10^{3}\right)$

|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $z(1)$ |
| Pt | $141 \cdot 7(1)$ | $279 \cdot 6(1)$ | $364 \cdot 8(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $222 \cdot 5(7)$ | $247 \cdot 4(4)$ | $225 \cdot 0(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $-71 \cdot 6(7)$ | $298.1(4)$ | $273.6(8)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $206(3)$ | $296(2)$ | $550(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $298(3)$ | $278(2)$ | $528(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $443(4)$ | $267(2)$ | $568(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $501(5)$ | $278(3)$ | $720(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $470(5)$ | $232(3)$ | $789(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $343(5)$ | $228(3)$ | $788(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $271(4)$ | $308(3)$ | $778(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $160(3)$ | $305(2)$ | $651(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $325(3)$ | $158(2)$ | $262(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $455(3)$ | $165(2)$ | $290(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(13)$ | $528(4)$ | $94(3)$ | $334(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(14)$ | $466(4)$ | $23(3)$ | $349(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(15)$ | $341(3)$ | $13(2)$ | $321(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $266(4)$ | $84(2)$ | $277(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $337(2)$ | $328(2)$ | $223(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(22)$ | $382(3)$ | $327(2)$ | $134(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(23)$ | $472(3)$ | $388(2)$ | $137(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(24)$ | $514(3)$ | $456(2)$ | $236(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(25)$ | $470(4)$ | $463(2)$ | $319(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | $377(3)$ | $399(2)$ | $321(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(31)$ | $128(3)$ | $217(2)$ | $58(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(32)$ | $32(3)$ | $267(2)$ | $2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(33)$ | $-43(4)$ | $247(2)$ | $-135(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(34)$ | $-15(4)$ | $176(2)$ | $-199(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(35)$ | $76(5)$ | $124(3)$ | $-144(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(36)$ | $150(3)$ | $143(2)$ | $-8(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $-114(3)$ | $380(2)$ | $176(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(42)$ | $-27(3)$ | $450(2)$ | $202(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(43)$ | $-53(4)$ | $514(2)$ | $129(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(44)$ | $-168(4)$ | $510(2)$ | $26(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(45)$ | $-253(4)$ | $441(3)$ | $1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(46)$ | $-230(3)$ | $376(2)$ | $71(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $-143(3)$ | $333(2)$ | $384(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(52)$ | $-175(3)$ | $280(2)$ | $438(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(53)$ | $-221(3)$ | $301(2)$ | $537(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(54)$ | $-234(4)$ | $391(2)$ | $553(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(55)$ | $-204(4)$ | $446(3)$ | $504(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(56)$ | $-158(3)$ | $421(2)$ | $414(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(61)$ | $-183(3)$ | $209(2)$ | $173(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(62)$ | $-134(3)$ | $151(2)$ | $112(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(63)$ | $-223(4)$ | $82(2)$ | $21(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(64)$ | $-344(4)$ | $75(2)$ | $10(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(65)$ | $-396(3)$ | $130(2)$ | $74(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(66)$ | $-312(3)$ | $201(2)$ | $159(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(100)$ | $101(4)$ | $-28(3)$ | $597(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(101)$ | $119(5)$ | $32(3)$ | $538(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(102)$ | $22(5)$ | $61(3)$ | $440(5)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

A satisfactory structural model was found in the space group $P 1$. The positions of the non-hydrogen atoms were determined by Patterson and difference Fourier methods. The final atomic positional (Table 1) and vibrational* parameters were obtained by leastsquares minimization of $\sum\left(\left|F_{o}\right|-\left|F_{c}\right|\right)^{2} / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)$. Refinement was terminated at $R=0.093$ and $R_{w}=$ $0 \cdot 12$. Scattering factors and anomalous-dispersion corrections were taken from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Calculations were performed on the Numac IBM 370 Computer with the XRAY system (Stewart, 1972).

Discussion. The crystals contain well separated molecules of $\left[\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{C}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{12}\right)\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{3} \mathrm{P}\right)_{2}\right]$ and of benzene, the latter displaying ī symmetry.

The poor quality of the crystal and its decomposition in the X-ray beam have adversely affected the

[^0]Table 2. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ )

| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $2.02(3)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(1)$ | $2.22(1)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $2.05(3)$ | $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $2.26(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $1.20(4)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $1.84(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $1.54(5)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $1.82(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $1.66(7)$ | $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.85(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $1.32(7)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(41)$ | $1.81(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $1.44(7)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(51)$ | $1.84(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $1.52(7)$ | $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{C}(61)$ | $1.83(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $1.53(6)$ | Mean $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}$ | $1.83(1)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $1.49(5)$ |  |  |



Fig. 1. A perspective view of the molecule. H atoms are omitted and phenyl C atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary size. For other atoms $50 \%$ probability ellipsoids are shown.

Table 3. Selected bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{P}(2)$ | $110.7(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $142(3)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{P}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $103(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $152(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}(2)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $112(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $104(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $34(1)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $129(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $74(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $122(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $71(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $119(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $142(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $112(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{Pt}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $136(3)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $112(3)$ |

accuracy of the analysis. Nevertheless, the geometries of the triphenylphosphine ligands (Tables 2 and 3 ) are as expected and the Pt coordination is similar to that found in the cyclohexyne and cycloheptyne complexes of $\mathrm{Pt}\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)_{2}$ for which more accurate structural details are available (Robertson \& Whimp, 1975). Here Pt, $\mathrm{P}(1), \mathrm{P}(2), \mathrm{C}(1)$, and $\mathrm{C}(2)$ (Fig. 1) lie within 0.03 (3) $\AA$ of their common plane.
The cyclooctyne atoms $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ and also $\mathrm{C}(7)$ and $C(8)$ are coplanar ( $\chi^{2}=5.8$ on three degrees of
freedom), while $\mathrm{C}(5)$ and $\mathrm{C}(6)$ are displaced from this plane in the same direction by 0.85 (5) and 1.14 (5) $\AA$ respectively. Thus the conformation of the cyclooctyne ligand is different from that displayed by gaseous cyclooctyne (Haase \& Krebs, 1971). A further difference lies in the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}$ angles, 142 (3) and 152 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ in the complex, and $159(1)^{\circ}$ in gaseous cyclooctyne.
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[^0]:    * Anisotropic only for Pt and P atoms. Lists of final vibrational parameters and structure factors have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 34620 ( 19 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

